## Memorandum

**To:** Maureen Guttman, Chair

Pennsylvania U.C.C. Review and Advisory Council

From: Kevin Maynard, Subcommittee Chair

International Energy Conservation Commercial Code Review

**Re:** Subcommittee Recommendation

Maureen.

As Chair of the 2015 International Energy Conservation Commercial Code review subcommittee, I respectfully submit the subcommittee's recommendations with regard to the received Public Comments as follows.

**Date: 27 March 2018** 

Here is what we came to:

**RE:** SectionC408.2.5.4 Final Commissioning Report - the comment against the Code section as written cited that it should be determined by the project owner or tenant. The comment indicated that commissioning services were available and that mandating this work would dilute the industry.

Those that were in favor of the comment stated

- 1. There is an inadequate quantity of qualified commissioning agents and its a harder role to fill
- 2. Third party building codes officials are overloaded and adding more to their agenda will create unnecessary delays
- 3. Professionals of record are not required to be a part of the project and this language dose not require it.
- 4. There is no direct certification for commissioning agents
- 5. Commissioning agents are asked to prepare test scripts that they are unaware of how to do since they were not involved in design phase.
- 6. Codes do not address what is required for the commissioning of complex equipment.
- 7. Mandating of commissioning will not solve the problems in the industry and lead to increased costs and delays.

Those that were against the comment stated

- 1. Should not be adopted because of leading to unqualified entities There are qualifications for the commissioning agent and are subject to review and approval by the code officials based on the definition in IECC Chap 2. Unqualified agencies can be precluded
- 2. The requirements for commissioning services should be determined by the project specific owner and or tenet These requirements push the other ideas shown through other code improvements into all buildings
- 3. The requirement of commissioning does not serve the problem The requirement of commissioning is setting the ground work for the industry to grow and to benefit Pennsylvanians by creating good jobs, by ensuring the buildings they are using are meeting codes, and by ensuring that buildings operate as designed.

#### REJECT COMMENT. - 4 to 2 with 2 No Vote

KMM: Reject MG: Reject BH: No Vote RM: Accept MM: Accept WS: Reject EMS: No Vote KM: Reject

**RE: Section C408.2.4 Preliminary Commissioning Report**— The comment along with the arguments for this section are the same as those stated in section C408.2.5.4

### REJECT COMMENT. - 4 to 2 with 2 No Vote

KMM: Reject MG: Reject BH: No Vote RM: Accept MM: Accept WS: Reject EMS: No Vote KM: Reject

**RE Section C104.2.6 Final Inspection** – The comment along with the arguments for this section are the same as those stated in section C408.2.5.4

### REJECT COMMENT. - 4 to 2 with 2 No Vote

KMM: Reject MG: Reject BH: No Vote RM: Accept MM: Accept WS: Reject EMS: No Vote KM: Reject

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin Maynard, PE (Chair) KMM; Committee Members: Maureen Guttman MG, Bobby Henon BH, Rich Madzar RM, E. Mitchel Swan EMS, Marty Marra MM, Walt Schneider WS, Karren Masino KM

# Memo

To: Maureen Guttman, Chair, UCC Review and Advisory Council

From: Matthew Wojaczyk

Date: 3/27/18

Re: IECC Residential Non-Controversial Provisions

Madam Chair,

By a vote of 7-0, the subcommittee for IECC Residential provisions recommends adoption of non-controversial items in to the Pa Uniform Construction Code.